

Cayley Monoids

Introduction

- In 1937 Church formulated lambda calculus as a semigroup;
- more precisely, with eta conversion, as a monoid. Conversely,
- it is natural to ask when a monoid can support an application
- operation. Indeed, in 1975 Dana Scott said that we should view
- combinatory logic as "combinatory algebra";so, let us try this.
- In this note we propose a possible modus operandi; namely, the
- notion of a Cayley monoid.
- Everyone is familiar with Cayley's regular representation
- of groups in the symmetric group. It is clear that it applies in
- a limited way to monoids. The notion of a Cayley monoid is just
- an internalization of this type of representation.

Cayley meets Church



Definition: A Cayley monoid K is a structure $(M, *, i, a, b, A, B)$

where

(1) $(M, *, i)$ is a monoid

(2) $a, b : M$

(3) $A : M \rightarrow M$ and $B : M \rightarrow M$ such that for all $x, y, z : M$

(i) $A(a * B(x)) = A(x)$

(ii) $A(b * B(x)) = B(x)$

(iii) $A(i * B(x)) = x$

(iv) $A(x * y * B(z)) = A(x * B(A(y * B(z))))$

Notation: Let K be a Cayley monoid. For each $x:M$ we can define

$X : M \rightarrow M$ by $X(u) = A(x * B(u))$.

Example 1: For any monoid M there is always the trivial Cayley monoid $A(x) = B(x) = x$, $a = b = i$. Here $X(u) = x * u$.

Example 2: If g belongs to the group of a monoid M with inverse

g^{-1} then we can set $b := g$, $a := g^{-1}$ $A(x) = a * x$ and $B(x) = a * x$.

Just as in example 1 we get a Cayley monoid K . A concrete example is given the "almost" isometries. If u, v are vectors in the plane $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ let $E(u, v)$ be the Euclidian distance of v from u .

$f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$

is said to be an almost isometry if there exists ϵ in \mathbb{R} such that

(1) $| E(f(u), f(v)) - E(u, v) | < \epsilon$, and

(2) For each v there exists u s.t. $E(f(u), v) < \epsilon$.

The almost isometries form a monoid under composition of maps. Now

set $b(u) = 2u$, $a(u) = 1/2 u$, $B(f) = 2f$ and $A(f) = 1/2 f$ so we have a Cayley monoid K .

Let O be a subset of M

Definition: The Cayley monoid K is said to have an autonomous commutator relative to O if there exists $c : M$ such that whenever x and y are distinct members of O we have

$$\forall x, y \in O \quad A(A(c * B(x)) * B(y)) = A(y * B(x)).$$

Example 1 continued: Let M be the group of quaternions

$$\{p, q, r, -p, -q, -r, i, -i\}$$

We have used the letters 'p', 'q', 'r' for the usual 'i', 'j', 'k'.

Let $O = \{p, q, r\}$. We have the autonomous commutator property for $c = -i$

Example 3: Let M be the monoid of all functions from the set of all non negative reals into itself. As in example 2, let $g(x) := k + x$ for k a positive integer and let

$$f_{\{n\}}(x) := n - e^{-x}.$$

We set $O = \{ f_{\{n\}} \mid n \text{ a positive integer} \}$. Now c is defined as follows:

Input x

$$\text{solve } x = n - e^{-y} - k$$

$$\text{solve } y = (m - e^{-z}) + k$$

Output

$$n - e^{\{ m - e^{-z} + k \}} = k$$

Example 4: For any pre-complete Ershov numbering of N , where \sim is complete for $0'$, the monoid of morphisms supports a Cayley monoid, where there is an autonomous commutator (general case?)

Definition : In a Cayley monoid K we say that B is an endo if

$$\text{For all } x,y:K \text{ we have } B(x*y) = B(x)*B(y).$$

and $B(i) = i$.

Example 5:

The Freyd-Heller monoid has been rediscovered many times

It is the positive part of Thompson's group F ,

and can be presented with the infinite set of generators $b_{\{n\}}$

for natural numbers n and the relations

$$b_{\{n+1\}} * b_{\{k\}} = b_{\{k\}} * b_{\{n\}}$$

for $k < n$. This is also a presentation of a monoid. Here we

wish to add left inverses $a_{\{n\}}$ satisfying $a_{\{n\}}*b_{\{n\}} = i$

and

$$a_{\{k\}} * b_{\{n+1\}} = b_{\{n\}} * a_{\{k\}}$$

$$a_{\{k\}} * a_{\{n+1\}} = a_{\{n\}} * a_{\{k\}}$$

for $k < n+1$. This is not yet the group F but another monoid M .

Now define

$$B(b_{\{n\}}) = b_{\{n+1\}}$$

$$B(a_{\{n\}}) = a_{\{n+1\}}$$

then B extends to an endomorphism

$$B(x*y) = B(x)*B(y).$$

So, given these relations each element can be written in the unique normal form

$$B(d) * b^{\{k\}} * a^{\{l\}}.$$

This requires proof ; more about this later.

Now M has a Cayley monoid structure where B is as above and A is defined by

$$A(B(d) * b^{\{k\}} * a^{\{l\}}) = d.$$

Fact : In this Cayley monoid

$$x * y = A(A(b * B(x)) * B(y))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof: } A(A(b * B(x)) * B(y)) &= A(B(x) * B(y)) \\ &= A(B(x * y)) \\ &= x * y. \end{aligned}$$

The relations of M are realized by the linear lambda calculus under beta-eta conversion,

here denoted ' \sim ', with

$b = \lambda xyz. x(yz)$ (the combinator 'B')

$a = \lambda x. xi$ (the combinator 'CII')

$x*y = bxy$ (the combinatory semigroup).

Below we shall refer to this Cayley monoid as

R(ichard)A(lex)P(eter)

In RAP we have

$$(1) B(B(d)) * b = b * B(d) \text{ for all } d:M$$

$$(2) a * b = i$$

Our representation of RAP in linear lambda calculus gives

$$(3) C(x) * B(y) = y * C(x)$$

$$(4) C(x) * c = x \quad (\text{Church})$$

$$(5) C(A(x * B(y))) = C(y) * C(x) * b \quad (\text{Church})$$

$$(6) A(c) = a$$

Theorem: If K is a Cayley monoid with the endo property, an autonomous commutator, and (2)-(6) then K contains a copy of the linear lambda calculus with $B = b$ and $J = c$

Proof: the proof is like an axiomatized version of chapter 7 of Barendregt's book. It gives us a homomorphism of the linear lambda calculus into K . For faithfulness, we need a version of Bohm's theorem for linear lambda calculus (known?)

Theorem: Every monoid can be embedded into a Cayley Monoid with the endo property, an autonomous commutator, and (2)-(6).

Proof: We embed M into the lambda calculus using the Hindley-Rosen Theorem.

Problem:

Can we define a Cayley monoid with an autonomous commutator on the set of all functions $R \rightarrow R$?

References

- [1]Barendregt, H.P. The Lambda Calculus;
Its Syntax and Semantics.
Studies in logic and foundations of mathematics
Vol. 103
North-Holland Publishing Company 1981

- [2]Birget,J.C. The groups of Richard Thompson and complexity
International J. of Algebra and Computation
Vol. 14, No. 05n06, (2004)
pps. 569-626

- [3] Cannon, J.W. Floyd, W.J. and Parry, W.R.
Introductory notes on Richard Thompson's groups
cfp.pdf
math.binghampton.edu
- [4] Freyd, P. & Heller, A. Splitting homotopy idempotents II,
Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra,
Volume 89, Issues 1–2, 1993
pps. 93-106
- [5] Nagy, A. Special Classes of Semigroups
Advances in Mathematics
Springer-Science
eISBN 978-1-4757-3316-7, 2001
- [6] Petrich, M. Introduction to Semigroups
Bell & Howell CO. 1972
ISBN 0-675-09062-8
- [7] Scott, D. Some philosophical issues concerning theories
of combinators
In: Böhm, C. (eds) λ -Calculus and Computer Science Theory
Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 37, 1975
Springer, Berlin

